

SAFETY WEEK 2024 – DAY 2

SAFETY STARTS WITH YOU! SAFETY OBSERVATION REPORTS CASE STUDY QUIZ

Answer sheet.

1. Potential Scaffold Collapse – SOR IR59743 Submitted by Gary Hall at Sellafield.

3 is the correct answer.

Gary removed the scaffold tag immediately, ensuring no one could access the scaffold structure until the scaffold design team could confirm that the scaffold structure could support the additional weight of the pipework.

Without Gary's intervention this could have resulted in a structural failure which may have resulted in significant injury.

2. Electrical Safety – SOR IR67485 Submitted by Dave Heward at GSK Worthing.

2 is the correct answer.

The consequence of wrongly labelled outlets could cause electrocution risk to personnel, as well as risk of major disruption if the wrong circuit is isolated. Dave was proactive in printing off new labels and applying them to the fuse spurs. He then advised management of the changes carried out.

3. Potential Fall from Height – SOR IR67829 Submitted by Josh Coates at Kings Lynn Power Station

1 is the correct answer.

A hard barrier or sign to raise awareness can prevent a fall from height incident. To ensure everyone's safety, Josh immediately placed a hard barrier around the area and spoke to the team to ensure they always adhered to the barrier when handling materials.





4. MEWP Safety - SOR IR66419 Submitted by John Ogg at Rosyth

2 is the correct answer.

John stopped the job, refused to accept the MEWP and advised the supplier to return to their depot. Work was placed on hold for several hours until a suitable MEWP was delivered. John spoke to the company directly regarding the requirement for this.

5. Potential Fall from Height – SOR IR69661 Submitted by Paul Helm at Mariner

3 is the correct answer.

If the grating was to shift it could create a trip hazard, or more seriously a potential fall from height to the level below.

Paul immediately placed barriers around the area to stop access. He then replaced the missing clips to ensure the grating was secure.

Without Paul's proactive intervention someone could have been seriously injured.

6. Client Verbal Request – SOR IR2034 Submitted by Alan Hodgson at Victrex

1 is the correct answer.

To ensure he was authorised to complete this task, Alan reported to his Line Manager to query the situation.

It was found that there were no approvals for this work and the scope of work was postponed. This identified issues on site with verbal requests and a formal communication plan has now been issued on site.

7. Challenging the Client – SOR IR45219 Submitted by Dale McGlaughlan

4 is the correct answer.

Dale used his Stop Work Authority until a safe way of accessing the filter was put in place.

Client and KAEFER scaffold team ensured a suitable access platform was created to access to the filter.

